Soil eco-risk assessment using a simple earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*) qualitative avoidance test: A Romanian case study of five swine farm surroundings


**Abstract:** The assessment of soil contamination and natural self-purification dynamic soil processes can be accomplished by numerous eco-hygienic and sanitary means without additional pollution. Among these, sublethal earthworm avoidance behavior tests have proven to be most effective. The aim of this case study was to determine the soil pollution risk in five large swine farms in Romania by using an avoidance behavior test as a screening tool with adult specimens of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* (ISO 17512-1:2008 qualitative test). Two soil samples were extracted at 100 to 300 m (328 to 984 ft) and 500 to 1,000 m (1,640 to 3,280 ft) distance from each swine farm, and a single sample was taken at 1,300 m (4,265 ft) distance. The results were analyzed statistically using the Anderson-Darling Normality Test (NIST/SEMATECH) and have shown that out of the 11 soil samples, the earthworms strongly avoided (*p* ≤ 0.005) 4 of them, confirming a potential eco-risk. The majority of the samples avoided by the specimens were collected at the 500 to 1,000 m range. However, the soil sample taken 1,300 m away from the farm showed that, at this distance, the soil had no sign of contaminants, as earthworms preferred the testing soil to the reference sample. In our opinion, this simple assessment, which produces fast and accurate results, should be included in a battery of tests as a helpful early qualitative screening tool in soil contamination assessment procedures to reveal a potential eco-risk.
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The presence of increasing amounts of pollutants in ecosystems is no longer a novelty, and as there are numerous publications on this subject, there is an increasing awareness about the real impact of the swine industry on the environment, especially in regions with dense animal populations. Although large numbers of potential pollutants may not have been inventoried yet, it is already known that intensive swine farming can negatively impact the environment in multiple ways. Swine slurry applied to agricultural soils contributes to improved soil quality since it provides organic matter and nutrients. Among these, nitrogenous substances play a significant role as a constant fertilization source. The effluent also serves as fertigation, supplying nutrients and water from livestock farms. However, nutrient recycling practices such as these can often add pesticides, heavy metals, and/or other diverse pollutants that can have harmful effects on human health and ecosystem sustainability (Bratanov 1979; Bernal and Kirchmann 1992; Asman 1995; Daumer et al. 2001; Schimel and Bennett 2004; Frate 2008).

The assessment of soil pollution and natural self-purification dynamic soil processes can be accomplished by keeping track of several hygienic and sanitary indicators, such as total aerobic mesophilic bacteria, number of coliforms, presence of *E. coli*, number of sulphite-reducing *Clostridia* and *Clostridium perfringens*, presence of enteric bacteriophages, number of parasitic eggs and larvae, etc. Among these, various earthworm avoidance test models are being studied and proposed as helpful eco-risk methodologies (Edwards and Bohlen 1992; Doran and Zeiss 2000; Cools 2001; Feisthauer 2003; Lukkari 2004; Zhou et al. 2007; Lanno et al. 2004; Fründ et al. 2009; Natal-da-Luz et al. 2009; Bartlett et al. 2010).

It is known that earthworms display an avoidance behavior in response to the presence of sublethal concentrations of numerous chemical substances or microorganisms with ecological relevance to the soil (Murry and Hinckley 1992; Farenhorst et al. 2000; Shin and Kim 2001; Lanno et al. 2004; Udovic and Lestan 2010; Wang et al. 2012).

Bartlett et al. (2010) demonstrated the importance of using tests on oligochaetes, reviewing a great number of specific testing methods. In contrast, De Sousa et al. (2011) observed (after testing cypermethrine) that neither the pollutant, nor the concentration or the different characteristics of the soil, influenced the avoidance behavior of the earthworms (Bartlett et al. 2010; De Sousa et al. 2011). In a comprehensive assay, Wang et al. (2012) tested 24 insecticides on *Eisenia fetida*, demonstrating that toxicity depends on the category of chemical substances and on the testing procedures (Wang et al. 2012).

The avoidance studies carried out so far have shown that a particularly suitable species of oligochaetes for ecotoxicity studies is *Eisenia fetida*. This species has the characteristic of not digging too deep (10 to 20 cm...
[6 to 8 in]) and prefers soils rich in organic matter from decomposed plant residues or animal manure. This is the reason why we chose this species for the ecotoxicity analysis of areas surrounding swine farms. One of the first detailed studies testing the effects of various chemicals on earthworms was performed by Edwards and Bohlen in 1992, revealing the need for the standardization of eco-pollution assessment methods (Edwards and Bohlen 1992).

By creating and using original devices, it was demonstrated that earthworms avoid contaminated soils. For example, Yeardley et al. (1996) found that E. andrei/fetida avoided sublethal concentrations of chemical pollutants in soils after a short exposure of one to two days (Yeardley 1996; Stephenson 1998; Feisthauer 2003). In the case of Eisenia fetida, Yeardley (1996) noted clear avoidance responses 48 hours after testing began (Yeardley et al. 1996). Over the years, other exposure periods have been tested, ranging between 24 and 72 hours, in studies conducted in 2001 and 2003, that proved the avoidance behavior of earthworms in soil contaminated by organic pollutants at concentrations similar to those that have a visible impact in chronic toxicity tests, for 56 days (Stephenson et al. 1998; Hund-Rinke and Wiechering 2001; Hund-Rinke et al. 2003).

Summarizing our review of prior published information, we can conclude that avoidance tests offer a good practical advantage, namely a short duration (usually 48 hours) and a high sensitivity, providing behavioral responses to pollutant sublethal/toxic concentrations. Also, the ease of use and reproducibility of these tests can be useful from an ecological perspective when performed in accordance with standard acute toxicity tests, such as those that evaluate the lethal/sublethal effects on the growth and reproduction of these organisms (OECD 1984; Gunadi and Edwards 2003; Natal-da-Luz et al. 2004; Environment Canada 2004; Loureiro et al. 2005; ISO 2008; Gibbs et al. 2009).

Our eco-risk approach consisted of observing the effects of swine farm effluents on soil quality by evaluating the avoidance behavior of adult earthworm E. fetida (ISO 17512-1:2008). Sublethal tests were performed for soil samples provided from the vicinity of five large farms in Timis County, Romania, with the aim of providing a simple and potentially helpful eco-toxicological qualitative methodology to an eco-hazard assessment.

Materials and Methods

Testing Device and Methodology. The study apparatus was assembled in accordance with the device described by Yardley (1996) and improved by Stephenson (1998). Each device consisted of a circular container divided in six equal compartments where the different soil samples are placed, each one connected to a central circular chamber where the specimens are introduced at the beginning of the experiment (Yeardley 1996; Stephenson 1998). The only differences between the original device and our own are related to material composition; the outer circular container was made of colorless polyester, the partitioned perforated dividers were glued to the circular container, and a ceramic plate was used for the base (figure 1).

According to ISO 17512-1:2008 and EPS 1/RM/43/2004 methodology described tests, for each test and reference (control) soil sample, 100 earthworms (E. fetida from laboratory culture) were used, 10 for each test, which was repeated twice in five test devices, each containing three compartments filled with test soil and three with reference unpolluted soil, (C samples). The test and reference soil samples were well homogenized, with equal amounts of soil being introduced in the six compartments of the test apparatus. These were filled with different soil substrates to a height of approximately 15 cm (6 in). The soil layering was done in 3 cm (1.18 in) layers, which were compacted with the fist to 1.4 g cm⁻³ (Fründ et al. 2009).

Before commencing the test, the earthworms were individually weighed for each test unit and repetition. For the assessments, sexually mature Eisenia fetida earthworms, weighing between 250 and 800 mg (0.008 and 0.028 oz), with a clearly visible clitella were used. Knowing their humidity preference (70% to 80%), an important issue for using this species of earthworm, is to maintain the 70% water holding capacity (WHC) in the compartmentalized soil samples. This was accomplished by moistening each compartment at regular intervals according to the WHC of the tested soil subtypes in our assay, following the instructions of Fründ et al. (2009).

After the desired water content was reached, the earthworms were introduced in the central chamber of the testing device in order for them to select a direction of migration to their desired soil. The test duration was 48 hours, during which the soil samples were not renewed to avoid disturbing the activity of the oligochaetes. A temperature of 20°C ± 2°C (68°F ± 3.6°F) and a 16:8 hours per day photoperiod (light:dark ratio) were maintained throughout the assay.

Studied Swine Units. The samples used in the assays were extracted from several different locations near swine farms (from Unit 1 to Unit 5) in Timis County, Romania (figure 2). These specific units were selected for their high pollution potential and for the fact that they are located in close vicinity of the main rivers of the country.

Data collected at soil sampling of the swine units included GPS coordinates, hour of sampling, ambient temperature, atmospheric pressure and weather conditions, relative humidity, soil subtype, and general characteristics (appearance, composition, texture, etc.) in the sampling section after SRTS-2012 (Tăruț 2013). Also the values of nitrates (NO₃⁻), phosphates (PO₄³⁻), and chlorides for each sample were determined.

The soil samples were collected from a depth of no less than 15 to 20 cm (6 to 8 in) from the vicinity of five large swine units. Two extraction spots were chosen, located at
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100 to 300 m (328 to 984 ft; sample a) and 500 to 1,000 m (1,640 to 3,280 ft; sample b) distance from the farms. An additional sample (c) was collected from a distance greater than 1,000 m, about 1,300 m (4,265 ft) from the farm. Soil samples from the corresponding farms are noted as Units 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (table 1 and figure 2).

The results were compared to those from the soil samples considered to have zero risk of swine manure pollution (control), taken under the same conditions from a large public park in the city of Timisoara. After sampling, all soil samples were placed in hermetically sealed polyethylene bags and stored at –20°C (–4°F) until the measurements took place.

Statistics. The results were analyzed using an Anderson-Darling normality test (NIST/SEMATECH), where values up to $p \geq 0.05$ were considered normal; values of $p < 0.05$ were considered not normal and statistically significant. The Anderson-Darling test makes use of specific distribution in calculating critical values and was, therefore, considered the most suitable for the current research situation.

Results and Discussion

During the tests, no changes were observed in the behavior of the earthworms, such as their presence on the surface of the soil at the end of the test. By comparing the ecotoxicity results from Unit 1, it was found that earthworms have avoided the soil taken from a distance of 100 to 300 m (328 to 984 ft) from the swine farm. A statistical analysis using the Anderson-Darling normality test of the samples from swine Unit 1 revealed values of $p = 0.044$ for sample b. By comparing the values obtained from samples from Unit 2, it was found statistically that $p = 0.073$ for a samples and $p = 0.01$ for b samples; the situation was considered to be normal. Analyzing the ecotoxicity for Unit 3 samples, it was found that the earthworms have avoided the soil taken from a distance of 500 to 1,000 m (1,640 to 3,280 ft) from the swine farm, with $p$ values for sample b ($p < 0.005$) compared to $p = 0.015$ for sample a. In the case of swine Unit 4, where the soil samples were taken from a distance of 100 to 300 m (328 to 984 ft) for a samples, from 500 to 1,000 m for b samples, and over 1,000 m for c samples, the statistical certain values for earthworms that avoided soil from Unit 4 occurred in samples 500 to 1,000 m away ($p < 0.005$) for b samples. Comparing the averages of the samples from Unit 5, it was found that the earthworms particularly avoided the soil taken from a distance of 500 to 1,000 m from the farm. The statistical data obtained from the Unit 5 samples revealed a $p = 0.05$ for a samples and $p < 0.005$ for the b samples taken 500 to 1,000 m away from the farm.

After analyzing all the results, we can be certain that the earthworms avoided the soils of the samples 1a (Unit 1), 3b (Unit 3), 4b (Unit 4), and 5b (Unit 5). According to our
Summary and Conclusions
The results obtained after testing the soil samples that were considered to hold a potential risk of pollution have shown that out of 11 sampling locations, *E. fetida* adult earthworms avoided soils from four locations respectively: Unit 1 (a), Unit 3 (b), Unit 4 (b), and Unit 5 (b); the majority of avoided soils were those sampled at 500 to 1,000 m (1,640 to 3,280 ft) distance from swine farms. In the case of the soil sample taken at 1,000 m distance from the farm (1,300 m [4,265 ft]), it has been demonstrated that soil ecotoxicity at this distance was no longer a threat to earthworms, which preferred the testing soil to the reference sample. In our opinion, this simple assessment should be included in a battery of tests used to indicate potential eco-risks because it can provide a fast and sufficiently accurate early screening tool in soil contamination.
Figure 3

Eisenia fetida avoidance behavior statistical analysis in the tested soils/sample (a) No. 1a /Unit 1, (b) 3b/Unit 3, (c) 4b/Unit 4, and (d) 5b/Unit 5, respectively.
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